

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/606,800	06/27/2003	Sun Geol Hong	049128-5123	5889	
9629	7590 06/14/2	05	EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			CALEY, M	CALEY, MICHAEL H	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004		2111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2871		

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		A C			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/606,800	HONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael H. Caley	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 M	<u>arch 2005</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ★ This	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. u□ accepted or b)□ objected to	-			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal R 6)  Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/606,800

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crosby (U.S. Patent No. 4,357,061) in view of Ernstoff et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,006,968 "Ernstoff").

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Crosby discloses a liquid crystal display device and method of fabricating comprising:

a liquid crystal display panel (Figure 1 element 12) having a plurality of liquid crystal cells (Figure 1 element 56);

a printed circuit board (Figure 1 element 14) having a drive circuit (Figure 1 element 16) mounted thereon to drive the liquid crystal display panel;

a supporter main (Figure 1 element 32) for supporting the liquid crystal display panel;

at least one hole (Figure 1 element 96) formed in the printed circuit board; and at least one projected part (Figure 1 element 34) protruding from the supporter main,

Application/Control Number: 10/606,800

Art Unit: 2871

wherein the projected part is inserted into the hole to affix the printed circuit board to the supporter main (Figure 9 elements 32, 34, and 16).

Crosby fails to disclose the liquid crystal display panel as having the plurality of liquid crystal cells as arranged in a matrix configuration. Ernstoff, however, teaches such a configuration as advantageous to realize a color display by presenting component primary colors adjacently (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to arrange the liquid crystal cells disclosed by Crosby in a matrix configuration. One would have been motivated to arrange the liquid crystal cells accordingly to benefit from the increased versatility of a matrix array cell arrangement, such as the ability to display diverse images beyond those of designed electrodes and to display color images (Figure 4).

Regarding claims 2 and 9, Crosby discloses all of the proposed limitations except for an explicit teaching of the diameter of the projected part as being larger than a minor diameter of the hole by 0.02-0.05 mm. Crosby, however, discloses a fastening mechanism in which a diameter of the projected part is inherently larger than a diameter of the hole (Figure 9) so that the circuit board and additional components may be held by the posts in the latched position (Column 6 lines 17-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed the projected part to have a diameter larger than a diameter of the hole by about 0.02-0.05 mm in the display device disclosed by Crosby. Such a selection of

diameter difference is considered to be an engineering expediency according to a resultseffective variable preference to optimize the difference in diameter for a particular size of the
circuit board. For example, one would have been motivated to scale the size of the fastening
assembly according to the required strength of the fastener for mechanically stabilizing the
circuit board and supporter main assembly.

Regarding claims 4 and 11, Crosby discloses the projected part as including a plurality of protrusions separated from each other by a first gap (Figure 9 element 86).

Claims 3, 5-7, 10, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crosby in view of Ernstoff and in further view of Lee (U.S. Patent No. 6,105,215).

Regarding claims 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, and 14 Crosby as modified by Ernstoff fails to disclose the hole as having an elliptical, non-circular shape. Lee, however, teaches an upper hole of a stacked assembly of plates with holes as having an elliptical shape to compensate for tolerances in alignment with the lower holes (Figure 2 element 105; Column 3 lines 26-31). Lee teaches the elliptical upper hole as compatible with a fastener (Figure 2 element 20) analogous to the projected part disclosed by Crosby.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed the hole formed in the printed circuit board disclosed by Crosby to have an elliptical shape. Crosby discloses the hole in the circuit board as the topmost in a series of holes in multiple plates similar to the topmost elliptical holes taught by Lee. One would have

Application/Control Number: 10/606,800

Art Unit: 2871

been motivated to form such holes as ellipses to facilitate easier alignment between the circuit board and other plates (Crosby: Figure 1 elements 36, 16, and 38) and to compensate for tolerances in alignment with the lower holes (Lee: Column 3 lines 26-31).

Regarding claims 6 and 13, Crosby as modified by Ernstoff fails to disclose the first gap as extending along a direction parallel to a major diameter of the elliptical shaped hole. Lee, however, teaches such an arrangement to compensate for tolerances in alignment with the lower holes (Figure 2 element 105; Column 3 lines 26-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed the gap to extend along a direction parallel to a major diameter of the elliptically shaped hole in the display device disclosed by Crosby. One would have been motivated to form the gap and major axis accordingly to compensate for tolerances in alignment with the lower holes (Lee: Column 3 lines 26-31).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael H. Caley whose telephone number is (571) 272-2286. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael H. Caley June 10, 2005

MHC mhc

DUNGT. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER